



Meeting Notes

Long Reef Working Group – 14 February 2018

Civic Centre, Dee Why 6-8.30pm

Present: Campbell Pfeiffer – Executive Manager, Property
Donald Gibson – Manager Building Assets – Planning & Delivery
Eliza Halsey – Senior Project Officer - Property
Lisa Trewin – Community Engagement Officer

Working Group Members were present representing the following groups:

- Long Reef Surf Life Saving Club – Executive (x3)
- Save Long Reef Community Group (x 1)
- Community Representative (incl Youth) (x2)
- Surf Life Saving Sydney Northern Beaches (x1)
- Board Rider Groups (x2)
- Local Residents (x1)

Architects from Adriano Pupilli

- Adriano Pupilli - Architect
- Matt Ryall – Architect

Apologies

- Key User Hirer (x2)
- Environmental Groups Representative (x2)

The meeting commenced at 6.05pm

Meeting opened by Campbell Pfeiffer who addressed the housekeeping and gave an overview of the Agenda.

Mr Pfeiffer briefed the group on progress to date, including discussions held during meetings of the Project Group.

Mr Pfeiffer explained the outcome of that meeting and subsequent discussions with engaged architects had lead to the following presentation from Long Reef Surf Life Saving Club representatives.

6.10pm

Mr Pfeiffer introduced the Long Reef Surf Club representatives to present.

The presentation outlined the Club's support of the proposed concept design by Adriano Pupilli Architects. However, the Club believed that a few refinements were required to the design to ensure functionality and fit for purpose, these included;

- Swap location of SLS amenities with part of the gear storage
- Increase the size of the downstairs training hall
- Increase the ground floor building height

Representatives of the Club talked the group through the suggested changes to the design noting the functional requirements for 'dry training' as well as flow of people and manoeuvrability of equipment.

6.30pm

Mr Pfeiffer thanked the Club for presenting their suggested refinements and invited the group to break for dinner.

6.40pm

Lisa Trewin invited Adriano Pupilli and Matt Ryall to present.

Adriano Pupilli recapped on the last meeting and advised how they amended their design to address access, flow and trailer access.

Mr Pupilli also explained why certain design elements had been implemented, noting the need for airflow, ventilation, external space required for movement of equipment, height required for board storage and the impact of the lower floor height on the upper floor.

There was much discussion about required heights for both floors – both for back of house and function space.

The architects advised that the suggestions by the Club were definitely something that could be incorporated; however, this may result in impacts on the presented concept. These include:

- less potential for natural ventilation and light which could require a mechanical solution
- concern about boat circulation if moving the building to the North

There were a number of discussions relating to alternatives that could be considered.

Discussion points captured during the working group

- Roof height. Discussion regarding height that will work for all users and be acceptable to the broader community.
Important elements: Height, natural ambience
- Discomfort with the vote on access and management that took place at the November meeting.
- It was noted that the process for reporting the number of people in attendance could be improved – and reflected differently in the meeting notes. i.e. accurately report the number of representatives from the particular groups in the room.
- Club Amenities: discussion on the best location for them. Need to have natural ventilation and preferable on an outside wall



LRLSCL – Presentation - Questions/Comments

- Not a large change to the design of the building, however it does present a minor increase to the footprint.

Access and management

- Concern that access and use of space was out of scope
- Request for consensus to proceed to community engagement

To compromise/advocate

- Could be achieved by removing the training space on the bottom floor – reduce the training room.
- Footprint details are important to have to be able to make an informed decision
- New building would sit within the current footprint of the existing amenities building and club.

Do we have a consensus

(i.e. seeking agreement from the Working Group for the draft concept designs to be taken to Council for endorsement to proceed to broad community engagement).

“No”

Concern regarding size, height, foot print utilisation

- Additional training space – is it required?

Unhappy with footprint of the building

Two training spaces

+ Comments

Most community feedback has been addressed in design concepts

Good point - when originally presented was that it was positive and no concern about it being too large.

Mr Pfeiffer drew the meeting to a close, as the meeting had extended beyond the stated period. As no decision had been reached Mr Pfeiffer thanked the group for their input and discussion.

Mr Pfeiffer advised he and his team would discuss and advise the next steps to the working group by written communication in the coming weeks.

Meeting closed at 8.20pm