

### Table of Consultation

<b>Address</b>	Avalon Public School
<b>Proposal</b>	Raised Pedestrian Crossing

<b>Properties Consulted</b>	N/A
<b>Responses Received</b>	3
<b>Support</b>	3
<b>Did Not Support</b>	0

Issue	Resident Comment (verbatim*)	Council Response
Kerb Blisters will be used as shortcuts	Having witnessed the behaviours of students in relation to the kerb blisters, I am concerned that they will continue to use them as 'shortcuts' to get on the crossing. This is dangerous behaviour and can startle a driver and quite possibly lead to an accident. My strong suggestion is to include short stretch of fencing along each side of the road adjacent to the crossing to prevent children from taking a diagonal shortcut onto the crossing via the blisters. Thanks again for this plan to help improve safety for our community.	At this moment the Federal Stimulus funding only allows for the one threshold to be constructed. This request will be added to a 'Request for Additional Works' spreadsheet where if there is additional funding available, these requests will be compared, analysed and evaluated to determine which are most appropriate.
Intersection of Old Barrenjoey Road and Avalon Parade	I welcome any improvement in children safety around the school, but this particular crossing is not of any concern to myself as a parent, it's the four pedestrian crossing in the village centre. At 3:30 every afternoon it's so dangerous and it's just a matter of time before someone is killed or injured at the crossing. Anything to improve that crossing which is extremely close to this school would be most welcome	Council had proposed changes to this intersection in the past. The proposed ideas include, shifting the pedestrian crossings away from the intersection, adding cycle lanes, changing one of the legs of the intersection into one way and shared zone. However, the Avalon Community had majority objection and did not adopt the proposed changes.

*\*Personal details have been redacted where possible. Spelling and grammatical errors have been amended only where misinterpretation or offence may be caused.*